

## Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

JUN 2 1997

The Honorable Deborah Pryce U. S. House of Representatives 221 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Pryce:

Thank you for your letter of April 18, 1997, on behalf of your constituent, Jerry T. Catt, regarding the Commission's policies with regard to licensing of 931 MHz paging systems. Mr. Catt expresses concern that his paging application will be dismissed and that paging frequencies will be awarded in a competitive bidding process.

On February 20, 1997, the Commission released a Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in WT Docket 96-18 and PP Docket 93-253, which adopted rules governing geographic area licensing for Private Carrier and Common Carrier paging licenses and established competitive bidding procedures for those systems. For your convenience and information, enclosed is a copy of the Press Release concerning the Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, which includes a summary of the principal decisions made. Specifically, all mutually exclusive applications for non-nationwide Common Carrier Paging licenses and exclusive non-nationwide Private Carrier Paging channels will be subject to competitive bidding procedures. Additionally, all pending mutually exclusive applications filed with the Commission on or before February 20, 1997, will be dismissed.

The Commission's interim paging freeze did not require prior issuance of a Notice of Proposed Rule Making. Indeed, the Commission has imposed freezes in a number of other proceedings to facilitate the transition to geographic licensing and auctions, including Multipoint Distribution Service, 800 and 900 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) Service, Location and Monitoring Service, 220 MHz Service and 39 GHz Service. Our decision in these proceedings to suspend acceptance of applications while the related rulemaking was pending advances two critical goals -- preservation of our ability to assign licenses through auctions, and deterrence of license fraud and speculation. In particular, we are concerned that the potential benefits of geographic area licensing, with competitive bidding used to select from among competing applicants, would be undermined by continuing to invite site-specific applications for "free" spectrum on a first-come, first-served basis.

Assigning frequencies by auction, in turn, helps deter fraud and speculation and ensures that this valuable public resource is assigned rapidly and efficiently to the parties who value it the most, rather than given away to the first party who files its application with the Commission. The Commission has stated its belief in other contexts (such as Specialized Mobile Radio) that auctions will minimize administrative or judicial delays in licensing, particularly in comparison to other licensing methods such as comparative hearings, lotteries (which are specifically prohibited by the statute if the service is auctionable), or "first-come, first-served" procedures.

The Commission's newly adopted rules to auction paging frequencies is consistent with Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, which sets forth certain criteria for determining when auctions should be used to award spectrum licenses. Pursuant to these criteria, auctions are to be used to award mutually exclusive initial licenses or construction permits for services likely to involve the licensee receiving compensation from subscribers. The statute also requires that the Commission determine that auctioning the spectrum will further the public interest objectives of Section 309(j)(3) by promoting rapid development of service, fostering competition, recovering a portion of the value of the spectrum for the public, and encouraging efficient spectrum use.

Moreover, the Commission has taken a number of steps to ensure that paging providers that are small businesses are not adversely affected by the transition to geographic area licensing and the use of competitive bidding procedures to award paging licenses. Additionally, the Part 90 shared paging channels will not be auctioned; instead they will be licensed on a site-by-site basis. We are establishing licensing areas of a size that will provide realistic bidding opportunities for small and medium-sized operators. We have also adopted special provisions in our competitive bidding rules for small businesses to facilitate their participation in the auction process, including bidding credits and installment payment provisions. In the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, we have proposed to allow paging licensees to partition their licensing areas in order to promote quicker build-out of small markets and rural areas.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

David L. Furth

Chief, Commercial Wireless Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

David L Furth 1800

## Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

DEBORAH PRYCE

15th DISTRICT



April 18, 1997

Ms. Lou Sizemore Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Rm 808 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Sizemore:

Enclosed, please find a letter sent to me by one of my constituents, Mr. Jerry T. Catt, concerning his paging license application currently pending before the Federal Communications Commission.

As you will note, Mr. Catt believes that the Commission has changed its original application rules, and is requesting that the FCC grandfather his application by considering it under the Commission's original guidelines.

Any information you could provide to Mr. Catt would be greatly appreciated. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

DEBORAH PRYCE Member of Congress

DP:m

Deborah Pryce 200 North High St. Rm # 400 Columbus, Ohio, 43215

Dear Congresswoman Pryce:

I am an applicant for a 931 MHz paging license in the Jacksboro, Texas, Market, which application is currently pending before the Federal Communication Commission. I am writing to urge you to insist that the Federal Communication Commission reverse its February 24, 1997, decision in WT Docket No. 96-18 looking to dismiss my pending application and issue 931 Mhz paging licenses in my market solely by auctions in the future.

I paid thousands of dollars for application preparation and filing services and properly filed my application in accordance with the FCC Rules and policies then in effect at the time of filing. The fact that the FCC now wants to change its rules, for the second time, dismiss my application and hold an auction, means that the substantial amount of money I have invested in this project will be lost. Neither I nor many other similarly situation applicants who properly filed in good faith have the resources to bid hundreds of thousands of dollars to win an auction license for an entire, big as a state-sized MTA geographic area, as proposed by the Commission.

I will have no opportunity to obtain an FCC license, build a paging station and participate in the communications industry as I had hoped to do, and which Congress has committed to seeing happen. I will lose all of my substantial investment to date because the FCC wants to arbitrarily change its Rules after applications were filed. This retroactive action by a Federal agency is not fair, and should not be condoned by Congress, also as stated above, this is the second time that they have attempted to change rules, just a year ago in 1996 we went through the same thing, and the FCC backed off.. Congress has oversight of this Federal agency and the FCC should be held accountable for its actions.

I strongly believe that this type of conduct on the part of the FCC is both morally and ethically wrong, to accept applications and money for the filing of licenses, and then to decide to change the rules in midsteam so to speak, so they can realize a bigger return by using the auctions, and to have no regard for the applicants who did things right and now stand to lose all the way round, both from the substantial amount of money involved, but the time also involved, this process has been dragging on now for more than a year.

I urge you to conduct an inquiry and take appropriate action on behalf of your constituents and have the FCC correct its action before it is too late. All I am asking is equitable treatment, which in this case could include "grandfathering" of mine and similar applications by the Commission, and appropriate processing and granting of these applications. Such action would not interfere with future auctions, as planned by the FCC.

Thank you for your PROMPT evaluation and intervention on mine and others behalf.

Jerry T. Catt

4432 Danforth Rd. Columbus, Ohio 43224 Phone: 614 262 7368 Fax: N/A